# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4207

HANNA MASON 3701 West Pondera Street Lancaster, CA 93535 Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 46923

**AND** 

PALMERRX, INC. DBA ACTON PHARMACY; HANNAH MASON 3630 Smith Avenue Acton, CA 93510 Original Permit No. PHY 48219

Respondent.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on April 23, 2012.

It is so ORDERED on March 23, 2012.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wusi

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL BROWN		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231237		
	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2095		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 E-mail: MichaelB.Brown@doj.ca.gov		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4207	
12	HANNAH MASON	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
13	3701 West Pondera Street Lancaster, CA 93535	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 46923		
15	Respondent.		
16	AND		
17	PALMERRX, INC. DBA ACTON		
	PHARMACY; HANNAH MASON 3630 Smith Avenue		
18	Acton, CA 93510 Original Permit No. PHY 48219		
19	Respondent.		
20			
21			
22	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public		
23	interest and the responsibility of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, the		
24	parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated	Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will be	
25	submitted to the Board of Pharmacy for approval and adoption as the final disposition of		
26	Accusation No. 4207 filed against Respondents PalmerRx, Inc. dba Acton Pharmacy and Hannal		
27	Mason.		
28	///		
		1	

## **PARTIES**

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.

  She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala

  D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Michael Brown, Deputy Attorney

  General.
- 2. Respondent PalmerRx, Inc. dba Acton Pharmacy and Hannah Mason (Respondents) are representing themselves in this proceeding and have chosen not to exercise its right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about October 30, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit No. PHY 48219 to PalmerRx, Inc. dba Acton Pharmacy; Hannah Mason (Respondent PalmerRx). The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4207 and expired on October 1, 2011, and has not been renewed.
- 4. On or about March 16, 1994, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 46923 to Hannah Mason (Respondent Mason). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4207 and expired on July 11, 2011, and has not been renewed.
- 5. The Board records indicate Respondent Mason is and has been the Pharmacist-in-Charge for Respondent PalmerRx since October 30, 2006.

### **JURISDICTION**

6. Accusation No. 4207 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on November 30, 2011.

Respondents timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

A copy of Accusation No. 4207 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

///

/// ///

# ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 7. Respondents have carefully read, and understand the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4207. Respondents have also carefully read, and understand the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 8. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at their own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 9. Respondent PalmerRx and Respondent Mason voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

### **CULPABILITY**

10. Respondent PalmerRx and Respondent Mason admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 4207. Respondents agrees that their licenses are subject to discipline and agree to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

### **CONTINGENCY**

11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondents understand and agree that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board of Pharmacy regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to Respondents or participation by Respondents or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents understand and agree that they may not withdraw the agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board of Pharmacy considers and acts upon it. If the Board of Pharmacy fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall

be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board of Pharmacy shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Citation Nos. CI 2008 39142 and CI 2009 42198 issued on or about January 5, 2010 to Respondent PalmerRx and Respondent Mason, respectively, as well as the abatements and fines ordered by those Citations, are withdrawn and/or dismissed.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent PalmerRx is jointly and responsible for the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 4207. Respondent PalmerRx is jointly, responsible and liable for the civil penalties to the Board of Pharmacy in the amount of \$50,000.00 (Fifty Thousand Dollars).

## **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy License No. PHY 48219, issued to PalmerRx, Inc. dba Acton Pharmacy is revoked, and Pharmacist License No. RPH 46923, issued to Hanna Mason, is also revoked. However, each revocation is stayed and each Respondent is placed on probation for four (4) years on the following terms and conditions.

1//

1Ó

12.

# TERMS APPLICABLE TO RESPONDENT HANNAH MASON

# 1. Payment of Civil Penalties

Respondent Mason shall pay civil penalties to the Board of Pharmacy in the amount and on such terms as specified below. Respondent Mason understands and agrees that such civil penalties are administrative fines pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(7), and as such are not dischargeable in bankruptcy. Respondent Mason further understand and agree that the filing of bankruptcy by Respondent Mason shall not relieve Respondent Mason of the obligation to pay the balance of the civil penalties to the Board. Respondent Mason shall be liable for payment to the Board of \$50,000.00 (Fifty Thousand Dollars) in civil penalties. Respondent Mason shall pay \$5,000.00 (Five Thousand Dollars) on or before the effective date of this decision, and shall thereafter make twelve (12) quarterly payments of \$3,750.00 (Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars) every ninety (90) days until the entire balance is paid in full. Respondent Mason may pay the full remaining balance due at any time, and may make extra payments. Aside from such expedited payment, there shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board of Pharmacy or its designee. Failure to pay the civil penalties by the deadlines as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

Further, absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee, Respondent Mason may successfully complete probation until this amount is paid in full. Each Respondent is responsible for payment of the full amount and neither may claim to owe only a portion or a share.

# 2. Community Services Program - Testimonial

Respondent Mason has authored a letter describing her incentives to participate and her level of participation in filling and/or dispensing internet prescriptions, and detailing the consequences of this participation for her, her family, the public health, and the profession, what she has learned from this experience, and what she would advise others who are approached to fill or dispense internet prescriptions. The letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "B", is incorporated herein by reference, and is part of Respondent Mason's public record of discipline with the Board of Pharmacy. The Board of Pharmacy may print, reprint, quote, or make other use of this letter in its communications and/or on its website.

Further, upon provision of reasonable notice, Respondent Mason shall appear for and take part in a video recording of Respondent Mason reading the letter, at a time and place arranged by the Board or its designee. The video recording shall be similarly subject to use by the Board of Pharmacy in its communications and/or on its website. Failure by Respondent Mason, upon reasonable notice, to timely appear for and participate in such videotaping shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 3. Obey All Laws

Respondent Mason shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations. Respondent Mason shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves either of Respondents' licenses or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 4. Report to the Board

Respondent Mason shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the Board of Pharmacy or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent Mason shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, the probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

.5

### 5. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent Mason shall appear in person for interviews with the Board of Pharmacy or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board of Pharmacy or its designee. The owner or officer of Respondent Pharmacy shall make the appearance on behalf of Respondent PalmerRx. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to the Board of Pharmacy staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 6. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent Mason shall cooperate with the Board of Pharmacy's inspection program and with the Board of Pharmacy's monitoring and investigation of Respondents' compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation(s). Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 7. Reimbursement of Board Costs

Reimbursement of costs per Business and Professions Code section 125.3 is waived.

# 8. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent Mason shall pay all costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board of Pharmacy each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Pharmacy on a schedule as directed by the Board of Pharmacy or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

### 9. Status of License

Respondent Mason at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the Board of Pharmacy, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation. If Respondent Mason's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication that Respondent Mason's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

## 10. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent Mason cease to practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, that Respondent Mason may tender its license to the Board of Pharmacy for surrender. The Board of Pharmacy or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other appropriate and reasonable action. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent Mason will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the Respondent Mason's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

Within ten (10) days of notification by the Board of Pharmacy that the surrender is accepted, Respondent Mason shall relinquish its pocket and wall licenses to the Board of Pharmacy. Upon surrender, Respondent Mason may not reapply for any license from the Board of Pharmacy for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent Mason shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date of submitted to the Board of Pharmacy, including any outstanding costs.

### 11. Violation of Probation

If Respondent Mason has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board of Pharmacy shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent Mason, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board of Pharmacy has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent Mason violates probation in any respect, the Board of Pharmacy, after giving Respondent Mason notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation as to that Respondent and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed during probation, the Board of Pharmacy shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

# 

# 

# 12. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the Board of Pharmacy or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, the successfully-completing Respondent Mason's license will be fully restored.

# 13. Continuing Education

Respondent Mason shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a Pharmacist as directed by the Board of Pharmacy or its designee.

# 14. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent Mason shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in Accusation No. 4207 and the terms, conditions, and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent Mason undertaking any new employment, Respondent Mason shall cause her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during Respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the Board of Pharmacy in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in Accusation No. 4207 and all the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent Mason's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s) and/or supervisor submit the timely acknowledgments to the Board of Pharmacy. If Respondent Mason works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent Mason must notify her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the Board of Pharmacy of the terms and conditions of the decision in Accusation No. 4207 in advance of Respondent Mason commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board of Pharmacy upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent Mason undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent Mason shall cause her direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the Board of Pharmacy in writing acknowledging he/she has read

the decision in Accusation No. 4207 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent Mason's responsibility to ensure her employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s). "Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the Board of Pharmacy shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 15. Notification of a Change in Employment, Name, Address, or Phone

Respondent Mason shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule. Respondent Mason shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the Board of Pharmacy of any change in employer, name, address or phone number shall be considered a violation of probation.

## 16. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, Respondent Mason shall at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, Respondent Mason must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should Respondent Mason, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, Respondent Mason must notify the Board of Pharmacy in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the Board of Pharmacy in writing within ten

(10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent Mason's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which Respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

"Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which Respondent practices as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

# 17. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, Respondent Mason shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order.

Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 18. Pharmacist Recovery Program (PRP)

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent Mason shall contact the Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP) for evaluation, and shall immediately thereafter enroll, successfully participate in, and complete the treatment contract and any subsequent addendums as recommended and provided by the PRP and as approved by the board or its designee. The costs for PRP participation shall be borne by the Respondent Mason.

If Respondent Mason is currently enrolled in the PRP, said participation is now mandatory and as of the effective date of this decision is no longer considered a self-referral under Business and Professions Code section 4362(c)(2). Respondent Mason shall successfully participate in and complete her current contract and any subsequent addendums with the PRP.

Failure to timely contact or enroll in the PRP, or successfully participate in and complete the treatment contract and/or any addendums, shall be considered a violation of probation.

Probation shall be automatically extended until Respondent Mason successfully completes the PRP. Any person terminated from the PRP program shall be automatically suspended by the board. Respondent Mason may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the board in writing.

Any confirmed positive test for alcohol or for any drug not lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment shall result in the automatic suspension of practice by Respondent Mason and shall be considered a violation of probation. Respondent Mason may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the board in writing.

During suspension, Respondent Mason shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent Mason shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall Respondent Mason manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent Mason shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

During suspension, Respondent Mason shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent Mason shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent Mason shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Subject to the above restrictions, Respondent Mason may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which she holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

Respondent Mason shall pay administrative fees as invoiced by the PRP or its designee. Fees not

· 9

timely paid to the PRP shall constitute a violation for probation. The board will collect unpaid administrative fees as part of the annual probation monitoring costs if not submitted to the PRP.

# 19. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent Mason shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent Mason shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 20. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent Mason shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent Mason's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.

Respondent Mason shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designee within five days after completing the course.

### 21. Discontinuance of Business

Respondent owner shall, within seven (7) days of the effective date of this decision, arrange for the destruction of, the transfer to, sale of or storage in a facility licensed by the board of all controlled substances and dangerous drugs and devices. Respondent owner shall provide written proof of such disposition, submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form for PalmerRx, Inc. dba Acton Pharmacy and return the wall and renewal license to the board within five days of disposition.

# 22. Testify

If requested to do so, Respondent Mason shall honestly testify to the facts and circumstances against Desert Drugs, Valley Pharmacy, The Medicine Shoppe #821, Moazzem

1	Chowdnury, Taonee Hasan, Stephen Cherman and Willon Henderson. Should Respondent		
2	Mason refuse or fail to testify if requested to do so, should she testify dishonestly, then		
3	Respondent Mason shall be considered to be in violation of her probation.		
4	ACCEPTANCE		
5	I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the		
6	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy License and Original Pharmacist License.		
7	I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and		
8	intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
9			
10			
11	DATED: 12/2/2011 PALMERRX, INC. DBA ACTON PHARMACY;		
12	HANNAH MASON		
· 13	Respondent		
14	DATED: 12/2/2011 Langue Mason		
15	HANNAH MASON Respondent		
16			
17	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
18	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
19	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20			
21	Dated: November, 2011 Respectfully submitted,		
22	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
23	GLORIA A. BARRIOS Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
24			
25	Michael Brown		
26	Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Complainant		
27	LA2010601520		
28	50999486.doc		
	14		
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (4207)		

1	Chowdhury, Taohee Hasan, Stephen Cherman and Willon Henderson. Should Respondent		
2	Mason refuse or fail to testify if requested to do so, should she testify dishonestly, then		
3	Respondent Mason shall be considered to be in violation of her probation.		
4	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>		
5	I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the		
6	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy License and Original Pharmacist License.		
7	I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and		
8	intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
9			
10			
11			
12	IIANNAII WASON		
13	Respondent		
14	DATED		
15	HANNAH MASON Respondent		
16			
17	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
18	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
19	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20	Wecember >		
21			
22   23	GLORIA A. BARRIOS		
24	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
25			
26	MICHAEL BROWN		
27	Attorneys for Complainant		
28	LA2010601520		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3919

		•	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
. 2	Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS		
. 3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL BROWN		
	Deputy Attorney General		
4.	State Bar No. 231237 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2095		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	E-mail: MichaelB.Brown@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Complainant		
•			
8		RE THE PHARMACY	
.9		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF	CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4207	
12	HANNAH MASON		
13	3701 West Pondera Street Lancaster, CA 93535	ACCUSATION	
14	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 46923		
	Respondent.		
15	AND		
16	PALMERRX, INC. DBA ACTON		
17	PHARMACY; HANNAH MASON		
18	3630 Smith Avenue Acton, CA 93510		
19	Original Permit No. PHY 48219		
	Respondent.		
20			
21	Complainant alleges:		
22	PAR	TIES	
23	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
24	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
25	2. On or about October 30, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit		
26	Number PHY 48219 to PalmerRx, Inc. dba Acton Pharmacy; Hannah Mason (Respondent		
27	PalmerRx). The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges		
.28	brought herein and expired on October 1, 2011, and has not been renewed.		
		1	
	<del></del>		

Accusation

- 3. On or about March 16, 1994, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 46923 to Hannah Mason (Respondent Mason). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on July 31, 2011, and has not been renewed.
- 4. The Board records indicate Respondent Mason was the Pharmacist-in-Charge for Respondent PalmerRx since October 30, 2006.

# **JURISDICTION**

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 4005 of the Code provides that the Board may adopt rules and regulations, as may be necessary for the protection of the public. Included therein shall be the right to adopt rules and regulations as follows: for the proper and more effective enforcement and administration of this chapter; pertaining to the practice of pharmacy; and pertaining to establishments wherein any drug or device is compounded, prepared, furnished, or dispensed.
- 7. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.) and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.).
  - 8. Section 4113(c) of the Code states:

"The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy."

- 9. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 10. Section 4402(a) of the Code provides that any pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period.
- 11. Section 4402(e) of the Code provides that any other license issued by the Board may be canceled by the Board if not renewed within 60 days after its expiration, and any license

canceled in this fashion may not be reissued but will instead require a new application to seek reissuance.

12. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

# STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

13. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."
- 14. Section 4067(a) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that no person or entity shall dispense or furnish, or cause to be dispensed or furnished, dangerous drugs or dangerous devices, as defined in Section 4022 of the Code, on the Internet for delivery to any person in this state without a prescription issued pursuant to a good faith prior examination of a human or animal for whom the prescription is meant if the person or entity either knew or reasonably should have known that the prescription was not issued pursuant to a good faith prior examination of a human or animal, or if the person or entity did not act in accordance with Section 1761 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations. A "good faith prior examination" includes the requirements for a physician and surgeon in Section 2242 of the Code and the requirements for a veterinarian in Section 2032.1 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(\$25,000) per occurrence pursuant to a citation issued by the board or a civil penalty of twenty-

five thousand dollars (\$25,000) per occurrence.

Accusation

- 27. **Diazepam**, also known as **Valium**, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(9) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. **Valium** is indicated for the management of anxiety disorders or for the short-term relief of the symptoms of anxiety.
- 28. Fioricet is a brand name and made from the combination of **Butalbital** a barbiturate, **Acetaminophen**, and **Caffeine**. It is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022 and is used to treat tension headaches that are caused by muscle contractions.
- 29. **Soma** is a brand name for **Carisoprodol**, a centrally-acting skeletal muscle relaxant and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

# CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE, AS TO BOTH RESPONDENTS FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Improper Dispensing or Furnishing Dangerous Drugs on the Internet)

- 30. Respondents are subject to discipline under Code section 4067(a), in that between on or about July 30, 2007 and December 11, 2007, Respondents dispensed, furnished, or caused to be dispensed or furnished, dangerous drugs, as defined in Code section 4022, on the Internet for delivery to persons in the state of California without a prescription issued pursuant to a good faith prior examination, and the Respondents knew or reasonably should have known that the prescription was not issued pursuant to a good faith prior examination, or the Respondents did not act in accordance with Section 1761 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations:
- a. Dispensing or furnishing two hundred fifty-six (256) prescriptions for dangerous drugs, including Hydrocodone/APAP, Ibuprofen, APAP/Codeine, Alprazolam, Diazepam, Fioricet and Soma.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dispensing of Erroneous or Uncertain Prescriptions(s))

31. Respondents are each and severally subject to discipline under section(s) 4301(j), (o), and/or 4113(c) of the Code, and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, in that between on or about July 30, 2007 and December 11, 2007, Respondents dispensed, attempted to dispense, assisted or abetted the dispensing of, and/or conspired to dispense, prescription(s)

containing one or more significant errors, omissions, irregularities, uncertainties, ambiguities or alterations, without contacting the prescriber to validate the prescription, and/or having objective reason(s) to know that the prescription(s) was/were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unauthorized Access to Pharmacy)

32. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 4300 and 4301(j) and (o) for violation of Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714 in that Respondents allowed access to Acton Pharmacy and its supply of dangerous drugs to an unauthorized individual.

### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(No Quality Assurance Program)

33. Respondents failed to develop a Quality Assurance Program, the pharmacist, clerk, and technician could not find a Quality Assurance policy and procedure at Acton Pharmacy in violation of Code sections 4301(j), (o) and California Code of Regulations section 1711. No Quality Assurance Program was discovered during the audit and inspection of Acton Pharmacy on May 20, 2009.

### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(No DEA Inventory)

34. Respondents failed to undertake a DEA inventory of controlled substances and dangerous drugs at least bi-annually while Pharmacist-In-Charge of Acton Pharmacy in violation of Code sections 4301 sections (j), (o), and Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations 1304.11(c). During the inspection of the Acton Pharmacy on May 20, 2009, by the Board investigator, the latest DEA inventory found was completed on January 2007.

### DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATION

35. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges that on or about January 16, 2008, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2006 34043 and CI 2007 35033 and ordered Respondents to pay a civil penalty of \$4,500.00 and immediately take such measures as are necessary to practice at an acceptable standard of care. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully

-1	Set Torth,			
2	<u>PRAYER</u>			
3	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,			
4	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:			
5	1. Revoking or suspending Original Permit Number PHY 48219, issued to PalmerRx,			
6	Inc. dba Acton Pharmacy; Hannah Mason (Respondent PalmerRx);			
7	2. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 46923 issued to			
8	Hannah Mason (Respondent Mason);			
9	3. Ordering Respondent PalmerRx and Respondent Mason to jointly and severally pay			
0.	the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case,			
1	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and			
2	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.			
.3				
.4				
.5	1/2/ 252-11			
.6	DATED: November 28,2011 VIRGINIA HEROLD			
.7	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy			
8	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California			
9	Complainant			
20	LA2010601520			
21	50996409.doc			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
- {	<u>.</u>			

Accusation

Exhibit B

Testimonial Letter

### HANNAH MASON

Acton Pharmacy

3630 Smith Avenue

Acton, CA 93510

11/29/2011

Re: Pharmacy License No. RPH 46923 and PHY 48219

To my colleagues licensed by the California State Board of Pharmacy:

I am embarrassed to have to write this letter. My extreme short sightedness led me to accept a proposal by an internet company, claiming to be legitimate and offered me \$5.00 dollars per prescription, to fill prescriptions sent to the pharmacy via the internet. I am ashamed because I have had an unblemished professional record for over 17 years, and I am well respected and trusted in my community.

In 2008, I was contacted over the telephone by a representative of a company requesting that I fill prescriptions that would be sent via the internet to my pharmacy and unfortunately, I accepted the offer. I was promised \$5.00 dollars per prescription. He promised me that it was a way to make a little extra cash. That promise of easy, extra money blinded me to aspects of the arrangement that were illegal as well as professional misconduct.

I then proceeded to verify and secure the licenses and DEA numbers of the prescribing doctors on file. I noticed that these patients were from all over the country. I thought at that time that is was okay, since these were legitimate doctors and I was able to verify their license and DEA numbers. I thought all that was required of me was to fill the prescriptions accurately and ship them to the respective patients. I also realized that the drugs I was shipping were primarily controlled drugs...vicodin, vicodin extra strength, Tylenol with codeine # 3, etc., but I was receiving all of the appropriate prescriptions forms in order to comply the law. I also received payment for all those prescriptions dispensed.

Apparently, I did not give this proposal a thought before agreeing to fill those prescriptions. I should have paid more attention to and thought more about all the information on the prescriptions. I was constantly getting prescriptions from these same set of doctors, who were prescribing mostly controlled medications, mostly pain killers, to patients who lived in different states from the prescribing doctors' offices. In retrospect, I should have questioned whether those doctors were really seeing those patients or communicating with them, much less examining them before prescribing the medications. I never directly confirmed that they were in good faith prior to examination of the patients by the prescribing doctors before filling the prescriptions.

I honestly did not think of the possibility that there was not a professional examination and no doctor/patient relationship. In retrospect, the nature of those prescriptions (pain killers), should have alerted me to the potential danger and impropriety. I now know that I could have been charged with criminal felonies in both state and federal courts. I continued to fill those prescriptions for about 6 months. I filled about 250 prescriptions. The money made was minimal, compared to the grief I am experiencing. I regret ever getting involved with these internet companies.

Then I received a letter from the DEA stating that this practice was illegal, and I immediately stopped. I received a call from the state board of Massachusetts, at one point and time that one of my prescriptions with my pharmacy label was found in that state. I assume that was what started the investigation. My pharmacy was visited by two inspectors from the California State Board of Pharmacy, and a series of evidence pertaining to the internet prescriptions were obtained. I cooperated with them fully. The investigations have resulted in me incurring significant fines both to the State Board of Pharmacy, and my license to be put on probation, with a number of conditions attached to these penalties. Those penalties are many times the money I made filling those internet prescriptions.

My fines could have been much higher. The State of California could have fined me and the pharmacy, \$6,000.000 dollars each. I received citations for that amount for both myself and the pharmacy. I collapsed when I received those documents.

The practice of filling prescriptions over the internet for patients previously unknown to my practice is dangerous to the patient and dangerous to the patient. I have come to realize that in many instances, patients contacted doctors only through a website, and that they never had any personal contact with the physician. Few questionnaires were filled on the website by the patient, which resulted in the writing of a prescription by the doctor, which was transmitted to me over the internet and filled by me and mailed to the patients in various states. I also never had any personal contact with these individuals or the prescribing physicians. It is apparent that the doctor should not be issuing prescriptions to patients they have never seen, or had any personal contact with, and I should not have been filling those prescriptions.

In retrospect, I now see the purpose of the law. Many potential drug abusers, who are not able to obtain controlled substances through a legitimate doctor relationship, turn to the internet to continue the abusive practices. Filling of prescriptions under those circumstances makes the pharmacist an enabler, if not worse than that. By filling internet prescriptions, we are exposing people to unknown risks from drugs about which they have never realistically consulted a physician. Drug interactions can result from this and can lead to severe complications, including death. I was also made to be aware that if injury occurs to a person to whom I supplied drugs to over the internet, I could be held liable for their damages. It is a liability that my insurance would not cover.

I am also aware that we are in the electronic age, and more and more communications are being done via e-mails and internet. These forms of communications have a potential for abuse and as health care providers, we all have to be on guard to prevent abuse. Someone else made a lot of money through this practice, and I was unfortunately a part of it. However, without the pharmacist, this scheme cannot

work. We must all be careful, and make sure we screen prescriptions with caution. We are the most trusted profession and the public relies on us for protection. Again I am sorry to have been such a disgrace to my profession.

Sincerely Hannah Mason

Acton pharmacy Mason